International Insolvency Law

2. Cross-border insolvency– main issues

Dr Marek Porzycki Chair for Business Regulation and Economic Policy Jagiellonian University

Substantive and international insolvency law

- Substantive insolvency law:
- insolvency proceedings under national law;
- dealing with insolvency of a debtor (e.g. liquidation or restructuring) within a specific jurisdiction.
- International (cross-border) insolvency law:
- dealing with cross-border elements of insolvency cases;
- applies in addition to substantive insolvency law

Scope of application – a crossborder element to a case

- the debtor has some assets abroad
- the debtor has creditors abroad
- the debtor carries out his activities on a cross-border basis
- the debtor is a multinational entity, with establishments in several countries
- the debtor is a multinational entity, carrying out business in several countries under legal form of local subsidiaries and in other countries by establishments

Conflicting approaches

UNIVERSALISM vs. TERRITORIALITY

- Territoriality traditional approach, based on state sovereignty
- Universalism modern approach, based on cross-border effects of insolvency proceedings

Territoriality

- ▶ state's sovereignty → insolvency decisions issued by foreign authorities are neither effective nor enforceable within our jurisdiction
- decisions issued by our state's authorities are neither effective nor enforceable abroad
- insolvency proceedings are strictly limited to assets of the debtor situated within one jurisdiction

Weak point:

Isolated country-based efforts are not able to solve complex cross-border cases.

Universalism

- insolvency proceedings opened in relation to a debtor affect his/her entire estate (including assets located abroad)
- foreign insolvency proceedings are recognized and their effectiveness is guaranteed

Advantage: possible coordination of liquidation or restructuring efforts in all relevant jurisdictions

Main problems under universalism

- possibility of conflicts over jurisdiction between courts of various states
- taking into account local interests in other jurisdictions, e.g.
- employees in a debtor's foreign establishment
- creditors with collateral rights on the debtor's assets situated abroad
- highly divergent solutions adopted by substantive insolvency laws

Solution: limited universalism

Limitations by measures to safeguard local interests, e.g.

- requirement of a formal recognition of foreign insolvency proceedings by a local court (not applicable under the EIR)
- additional territorial (or secondary) proceedings over the debtor's local assets
- modification to effects of foreign insolvency proceedings in order to take into account local interests

Varying degree of limitations to universalism

- European Insolvency Regulation (EIR) more universalism – automatic recognition of foreign insolvency proceedings, with safeguards for local interests
- UNCITRAL Model Law more limited version
 - formal recognition by local court required
- some national laws narrower grounds for recognition or even territoriality

Main questions to be regulated under universalism

Jurisdiction

- which country's courts are authorized to open insolvency proceedings against a debtor?
- Possible grounds for jurisdiction: registered office, center of main interests (COMI), possessing assets in the country, possessing establishment in the country, domicile, habitual residence (natural persons)
- Avoiding overlapping jurisdictions is a country's jurisdiction exclusive? How are proceedings in various countries coordinated?

Main questions to be regulated under universalism

Applicable law

which material law is applicable to the effects of foreign bankruptcy proceedings? Extent of the application of the law of the state of the opening of the proceedings (*lex fori concursus*). Exceptions – application of local law in some cases.

Additional reading

- ▶ I. Fletcher, Insolvency in Private International Law, 2nd edition, OUP 2005 – Chapter 1: Theory and Principle in Cross-Border Insolvency, parts 1.1 and 1.2 (pp. 3-17)
- ▶ S.M. Franken, Three Principles of Transnational Corporate Bankruptcy Law: A Review, European Law Journal Vol. 1, No. 2, March 2005, pp. 3–9 optional reading in Polish:
- T. Chilarski, Upadłość transgraniczna w prawie Unii Europejskiej, C.H. Beck, Warszawa 2008, p. 41-60
- W. Klyta, Uznanie zagranicznych postępowań upadłościowych, WoltersKluwer 2008, p. 19-39